

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/748,250 12/27/2000		12/27/2000	Jea-Yong Yoo	2950-0181P-SP	4718	
2292	7590	12/02/2004		EXAMINER		
		ART KOLASCH &	KE, PENG			
PO BOX FALLS C		H, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,		2174		
				DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(M)			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	- 1			
• •		09/748,25	0	YOO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
,		Peng Ke		2174				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	iss			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. , a reply within the statuperiod will apply and will statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) da I expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ication to become ABANDONE	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	26 July 2004.						
·	·	This action is no	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from coi						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	\square objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	= ' '			,			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries the oath or declaration is objected to by the countries of the countries	•	• ,	7	` '			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have bee ments have bee e priority docume sureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Sta	age			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S or No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: Amendment, filed on 7/27/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are pending in this application. Claims 1 and 9 are independent claims. In the Amendment, filed on 7/27/2004, claims 1 and 9 were amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 8-9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Humpleman et al. US-6,182,094 B1.

As per claim 1, Humpleman et al teach a method of menu-based remote control of external devices that are connected to an audio/video apparatus having a display device (col. 23, lines 32-59), comprising the steps of

a. displaying on the display device, a first menu image including a first plurality of menu items, each of the first plurality of menu items menu item corresponding to each of the external devices, such that a selected external device from the external devices is selected from the first plurality of menu items (704, 712, Fig. 10; col. 15, lines 40-47);

b. requesting a second menu image from the selected external device, the second menu image including a second plurality of menu items associated with operations of the selected

Application/Control Number: 09/748,250

Art Unit: 2174

external device, each of the second plurality of menu items being arranged in a specific position region in the second menu image; (804, 806, 706, Fig. 10; col. 15, lines 56-62);

c. receiving the second menu image from the selected external device and displaying the second menu image on said display device in place of the first menu image previously display on said display device (col. 13, lines 30-68), the second menu image being displayed without performing an additional image construction process; and (col. 15, lines 63-65).

d. obtaining a position of a cursor on the second menu image displayed on said display device in response to a user input and transmitting the position of the cursor to the selected external device, the selected external device performing an operation corresponding to one of the second plurality of menu items when the selected external device detects the position of the cursor within the specific position region of the one of the second plurality of menu items and displaying the result of said operation on said display device in place of any image previously displayed (col. 13, lines 30-68).

As per claim 2, Humpleman et al teach the method wherein each of the first plurality of menu items corresponds to each of the external devices that are connected to the audio/video apparatus through an IEEE 1394 interface (100, Fig. 1; col. 6, lines 10-15).

As per claims 3 and 5, Humplen-.an et al teach the method comprising the step of checking what external devices are connected before step (a) and the method wherein the checking step is performed when communication with the external devices through the common bus before the step (col. 11, lines 35-67 - col. 12, lines 1-1 l).

Application/Control Number: 09/748,250

Art Unit: 2174

As per claim 4, Humpleman et al do not explicitly teach the method wherein the checking step is performed in response to a user request of the first menu image for selection of the external device. However, such a step would have been inherent to the system because the user must initiate certain actions or operations such as turning on the software agent system (Col. 9, lines 22-26) in order to see the availability of all the devices through the menu image.

As per claim 8, Humpleman et al teach a method further comprising the step of transmitting information about the current position of a cursor (user selection on PC is done with cursor) on the menu image displayed instep (c) to the selected external device, wherein the user input includes an entry of a selection key by a user (Col. 15, lines 40-65).

As per claim 9, it is rejected with the same rationale as claim 1. (see rejection above)

As per claim 11, Humpleman et al. teach the method of claim 9 wherein the user input includes an entry of a selection key by a user (selection by user) (col. 17, lines 54-63).

As per claim 12, Humpleman et al. teach the method of claim 9 further comprising the step of checking if the external device is connected through a common bus before the step (a) (transmission signals confirm connection) (col. 5, lines 39-46).

As per claim 13, Humpleman et al. teach the method of claim 13, wherein the checking step is performed in response to a user request of the first menu image for selection of the external device (col. 13, lines 30-68).

As per claim 14, Humpleman et al teach the method wherein the checking step is performed when communication with the external device through the common bus is possible (security system operates through the bus) (Fig. 1, 118).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-7, and 10 are rejected tinder 35 USC 103(a) over Humpleman et al, US 6,182,094 B1 in view of Kim, US-6,133,911.

As per claim 6, Humpleman et al disclose the method of claim 1 but do not explicitly teach a method comprising the step of displaying the one of the second plurality of menu items differently from the other menu items of the second plurality of menu items on the second menu image when a cursor is positioned over the menu items during the movement of the cursor. However, such a feature is well known in the art. For instance, Kim teaches a method comprising the step of displaying a menu item differently from other menu items on the menu images displayed in step (c) when a cursor is positioned over the menu item during the movement of the cursor (S423, Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to combine the teachings of Humpleman et al and Kim to incorporate a display change upon cursor contact in order to visually aid a user's interaction with the system.

As per claim 7, Kim further teaches the method wherein the one of the second plurality of menu items over which the cursor is positioned is displayed differently from other menu items of

the second plurality of menu items on the basis of information about the positions of the second plurality of menu items on the menu image, the information being provided by the selected external device (control device) (S423, Fig. 4; col. 4, lines 49-60).

As per claim 10, Humpleman et al disclose the method of claim 9 but do not teach a method wherein the second menu item,-,hanges color and/or brightness when the external device detects the position of the cursor within the specific position region of the second menu item. However, Kim teaches a method wherein a menu item over which the cursor is positioned during the movement of the cursor is displayed differently from other menu items in color and/or brightness (S420, S423, Fig. 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Humpleman et al and Kim in order to visually aid a user's interaction with the system.

Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed on 7/27/2004 have been fully considered but they are not. persuasive.

Applicant argues that Humpleman et al. fails to teach receiving the second menu image from the selected external device and displaying the second menu image on said display device in place of the first menu image previously displayed on said display device, and displaying the result of said operation on said display device in place of any image previously displayed.

Examiner disagrees.

Humpleman et al. allowed a user to link device back to its home page, and download a user interface from the home page for that particular device (col. 13, lines 30-68).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peng Ke whose telephone number is (571) 272-4062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th and Alternate Fridays 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine L Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peng Ke

Wistine Kincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100